Higgs Field and the Massless Minimally Coupled Scalar Field in de Sitter Universe

J.P. Gazeau[1,*] and M.V. Takook[2,3,†]

[1] *APC, Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, France*
[2] *Department of Physics, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran*
[3] *Department of Physics, Science and Research branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran*

(Dated: February 28, 2017)

The massless minimally coupled scalar field in de Sitter ambient space formalism could play a similar role to what the Higgs scalar field performs within the electroweak standard model. With the introduction of a "local transformation" for this field, a Lagrangian model of interaction between the latter and a massless spinor field is made similar to a gauge theory. In the null curvature limit, the Yukawa potential can be constructed from that Lagrangian. Finally the one-loop correction of the scalar-spinor interaction is presented, which is free of any infrared divergence.

Proposed PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 03.70+k, 11.10.Cd, 98.80.H

arXiv:1612.08024v4 [gr-qc] 25 Feb 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

The Higgs field Φ_H is thought to exist everywhere in the universe. The interaction of this fundamental field with vector and spinor fields results in the appearance of mass for some fields through the Higgs mechanism and the spontaneous symmetry breaking. Whereas the interactions between the various fields are usually described by using the gauge formalism, the interaction between Higgs and spinor fields is expressed in terms of a Yukawa type potential, which does not pertain to the gauge theory framework. Setting up a firm theory, based on the gauge principle, for the interaction between Higgs and spinor fields is still an important challenge.

In this paper, we explain how the massless minimally coupled (*mmc*) scalar field in de Sitter (dS) space could play a similar role to the special one played by the Higgs field in the standard model. One essential step is to show that the *mmc* scalar field can be written in terms of a massless conformally invariant (*mcc*) scalar field and an arbitrary constant five-vector A_α in dS ambient space formalism. Then we prove that a constant term in the two-point function appears everywhere in the dS universe and that, at short relative distance, we have the Hadamard behavior, which guarantees the observation of the field quanta or "Higgs particle".

We know that gauge invariance has two handicaps: (1) appearance of infrared divergence and (2) breakdown of background space-time symmetry. Fixing the gauge and introducing a Gupta-Bleuler triplet formalism remove these problems. Note that these difficulties are also present in the quantization of the *mmc* scalar field in dS space (see [1] and references therein). In the present work, a new transformation for the *mmc* scalar field in dS ambient space formalism is presented. Then, by

*Electronic address: gazeau@apc.in2p3.fr
†Electronic address: takook@razi.ac.ir

using this transformation, the interaction between scalar and spinor fields is reformulated. It is shown that with an appropriate fixing of the parameters in the null curvature limit, the Yukawa interaction can be reconstructed.

We first recall the abelian gauge theory in dS ambient space formalism. Then we show that for a specific class of abelian gauge parameters our local transformation becomes equivalent to the latter. Finally, the one-loop correction of the scalar-spinor interaction is presented. An appendix helps to make clearer some aspects of QFT in dS space-time.

II. NOTATIONS

The dS space-time can be identified with a 4-dimensional hyperboloid embedded in the 5-dimensional Minkowskian space-time $\mathbb{M}_{1,4}$ with the relation:

$$M_H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 |\ \ x \cdot x = \eta_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta = -H^{-2}\},\ \ \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{II.1}$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}\,(1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ and H is like a Hubble parameter. The tangential derivative in ambient space notation is defined as

$$\partial^\top_\beta = \theta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\alpha = \partial_\beta + H^2 x_\beta x.\partial,$$

where $\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + H^2 x_\alpha x_\beta$ is the transverse projector. The intrinsic nature of $\partial^\top_\beta$ implies $\partial^\top_\beta H^2 = 0$ whereas $\partial_\beta H^2 = 2H^4 x_\beta$. The second-order Casimir operator of the dS group $\text{SO}_0(1,4)$ for the scalar field, denoted here by Q_0, can be written as:

$$Q_0 = -H^{-2}\partial^\top \cdot \partial^\top = -H^{-2}\Box_H,$$

where $\Box_H$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on dS space-time [1]. Using the above relation, it is easy to prove the following identities [2]:

$$\left[\partial^\top_\alpha\ ,\ x_\beta\right]\phi(x) = \theta_{\alpha\beta}\phi(x), \quad \left[\partial^\top_\alpha\ ,\ \partial^\top_\beta\right]\phi(x) = H^2 x_\beta \partial^\top_\alpha \phi(x) - H^2 x_\alpha \partial^\top_\beta \phi(x),$$

$$[Q_0\ ,\ x_\beta]\,\phi(x) = -4x_\beta\phi(x) - 2H^{-2}\partial^\top_\beta\,\phi(x), \quad \left[Q_0\ ,\ \partial^\top_\beta\right]\phi(x) = 2\partial^\top_\beta\,\phi(x) + 2H^2 x_\beta Q_0\phi(x). \tag{II.2}$$

These identities imply the two important relations:

$$\left[Q_0\ ,\ \partial^\top_\beta + 2H^2 x_\beta\right]\phi(x) = -2\left(\partial^\top_\beta + 2H^2 x_\beta\right)\phi(x) - 2H^2 x_\beta\,(2 - Q_0)\,\phi(x), \tag{II.3}$$

and

$$[Q_0\ ,\ \theta_{\alpha\beta}]\,\phi(x) = -8x_\alpha x_\beta\phi(x) - 2\theta_{\alpha\beta}\phi(x) - 2x_\beta\partial^\top_\alpha\,\phi(x) - 2x_\alpha\partial^\top_\beta\,\phi(x). \tag{II.4}$$

Now, the massless conformally coupled (*mcc*) scalar field, denoted by Φ_{mcc}, satisfies the field equation [3]:

$$(\Box_H + 2H^2)\Phi_{\text{mcc}} = 0 = (Q_0 - 2)\Phi_{\text{mcc}}.$$

By applying the identity (II.3) to this field, one obtains:

$$Q_0\left(\partial^\top_\alpha + 2H^2 x_\alpha\right)\Phi_{\text{mcc}} = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by an arbitrary constant five-vector A_α ($\partial_\alpha A_\beta = 0 = \partial^\top_\alpha A_\beta$), we arrive at:

$$Q_0\left(A \cdot \partial^\top + 2H^2 A \cdot x\right)\Phi_{\text{mcc}} = 0\,, \tag{II.5}$$

which is the key equation for our purpose.

III. MINIMALLY COUPLED SCALAR FIELD

Due to its appearance in inflationary models and in quantum linear gravity, the *mmc* scalar field has attracted a considerable attention. This field, denoted by $\Phi_{\rm mmc}$, satisfies the equation [1]

$$\Box_H \Phi_{\rm mmc} = 0 = Q_0 \Phi_{\rm mmc}\,. \tag{III.1}$$

In the process of quantization of the *mmc* scalar field, two problems appear: (1) appearance of infrared divergence and (2) breakdown of background space-time symmetry, [4, 5]. This situation is similar to what happens with gauge theory. To overcome these difficulties the so-called Krein space quantization, which was presented and implemented in [1, 6], successfully removes the infrared divergence and preserves the de Sitter invariance while breaking the analyticity. In addition, the ambient space formalism allowed us to construct a linear gravity, using a polarization tensor and the *mmc* scalar field [7, 8] : $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\beta}(x,\partial)\Phi_{\rm mmc}$. For the most recent similar approaches see [9]. In Krein space quantization, the scalar field $\Phi_{\rm mmc}$ was constructed with intrinsic coordinates whilst the polarization tensor $D_{\alpha\beta}(x,\partial)$ was based on ambient space formalism. This formalism duality is a disadvantage. Moreover in quantum field theory, two vacuum states are encountered, the Gupta-Bleuler vacuum (for *mmc* field and linear gravity) and the Bunch-Davies vacuum state (for other fields).

With the equation (II.5) the scalar field $\Phi_{\rm mmc}$ can be expressed in terms of the *mcc* scalar field, $\Phi_{\rm mcc}$. More precisely, in ambient space formalism, one can write

$$\Phi_{\rm mmc}(x) \equiv \left[A\cdot\partial^{\top} + 2H^2 A\cdot x\right]\Phi_{\rm mcc}(x)\,, \tag{III.2}$$

where we remind that A^{α} is an arbitrary constant five-vector. The quantum field operator $\Phi_{\rm mcc}$ is constructed from the Bunch-Davies vacuum state in the ambient space formalism [2, 3, 10], as is briefly described in the appendix. Since the scalar field $\Phi_{\rm mmc}$ can be also constructed from Bunch-Davies vacuum state by application of (III.2), it results a quantum field theory with a unique vacuum state, *i.e.* the Bunch-Davies vacuum, and an analytical two-point function can be obtained.

Indeed, the Wightman two-point function for the *mcc* scalar field reads [10, 11]:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm mcc}(x,x') = \frac{-H^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{1}{1-\mathcal{Z}(x,x')+i\tau\epsilon(x^0,x'^0)}, \tag{III.3}$$

where $\tau\to 0$ and

$$\epsilon(x^0-x'^0) = \begin{cases} 1 & x^0 > x'^0 \\ 0 & x^0 = x'^0 \\ -1 & x^0 < x'^0 \end{cases}.$$

$\mathcal{Z}(x,x')$ is the geodesic distance between two points x and x' on the de Sitter hyperboloid:

$$\mathcal{Z}(x,x') = -H^2 x\cdot x' = 1+\frac{H^2}{2}(x-x')^2.$$

Using the equation (III.2), the two-point function for the *mmc* scalar field can be written as:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(x,x';A) = \left[A\cdot\partial^{\top} + 2H^2 A\cdot x\right]\left[A\cdot\partial'^{\top} + 2H^2 A\cdot x'\right]\mathcal{W}_{\rm mcc}(x,x')\,. \tag{III.4}$$

By using the equations

$$\partial^{\top}_{\alpha} x\cdot x' = \partial^{\top}_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x'^{\beta} = \theta_{\alpha\beta}x'^{\beta} = x'_{\alpha} + H^2 x_{\alpha} x\cdot x', \quad \partial^{\top}_{\alpha}\frac{1}{1+H^2 x\cdot x'} = -\frac{x'_{\alpha}+H^2 x_{\alpha} x\cdot x'}{(1+H^2 x\cdot x')^2}.$$

and after some simple calculations, one obtains

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(x,x';A)=\frac{-H^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{(\mathcal{Z}-3)\left[(A\cdot x)^2+(A\cdot x')^2+A\cdot x\,A\cdot x'\,\mathcal{Z}\right]+6A\cdot xA\cdot x'-(1-\mathcal{Z})A\cdot A}{(1-\mathcal{Z}+i\tau\epsilon)^3}.$$

This two-point function is not dS invariant with respect to variables $x\,,\ x'\,$. Instead, we have to consider the A-labelled family of two-point functions for which the following dS invariance holds:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(Rx,Rx';RA)=\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(x,x';A)\quad\text{for all } R\in{\rm SO}_0(1,4)\,. \tag{III.5}$$

This means that it is built from a "one-particle" state which does not transform under a unitary irreducible representation of the dS group, a well-known feature of the *mmc* field (see appendix). Note also the dilation invariance $\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(x,x';\lambda A)=\lambda^2\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}(x,x';A)$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}\,$.

The singularity of the two-point function in the limit $x\longrightarrow x'$ ($\mathcal{Z}=1$) is similar to the Hadamard behavior of the two-point function. At large $\mathcal{Z}\sim(x-x')^2\sim-x\cdot x'\longrightarrow\infty$, the dominant term in $\mathcal{W}_{\rm mmc}$ is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left[\frac{A\cdot x\,A\cdot x'}{x\cdot x'}+\frac{(A\cdot x)^2+(A\cdot x')^2}{H^2(x\cdot x')^2}\right].$$

Hence, we get a A dependent value, which also depends on the respective directions of x and x' along which their large separation limit is performed. This behavior of the two-point function at large separation distances, appears also for spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and 2 since they can be written in terms of the polarization spinor-tensor and a massless minimally coupled scalar field ($\Psi_\alpha=\mathcal{D}_\alpha(x,\partial)\Phi_{\rm mmc},$) [2, 7, 12]. This A dependent value naturally breaks the conformal invariance and it may be considered as a cause of the appearance of mass in a physical theory ruled by the Higgs mechanism. These properties of the *mmc* scalar field appear as similar to the properties of Higgs scalar field.

What is really the physical meaning of the arbitrary constant five-vector A^α and how it can be fixed? It may be fixed by considering the interaction cases in the null curvature limit. In the next section we present a toy model for obtaining the interaction Lagrangian.

IV. A TOY MODEL

In the previous section we noticed the arbitrariness in the choice of the constant vector field $A_\alpha\,$. To some extent, we meet a similar situation in gauge theory with the choice of the gauge potential. Now, all scalar equations in dS of the form

$$(Q_0+\sigma(\sigma+3))\Phi(x)=0 \tag{IV.1}$$

have a continuous family of simple solutions, named "de Sitter plane waves"

$$\Phi(x)=(x\cdot\xi)^\sigma\,. \tag{IV.2}$$

which are indexed by vectors ξ lying in the null-cone in $\mathbb{M}_{1,4}\,$. Those vectors play the rôle of momentum parameter. Thus, in the *mmc* case, $\sigma=0$ (constant solution) or $\sigma=-3$ (generating function for a complete set of solutions). In the *mcc* case, $\sigma=2$ (generates polynomials solutions) or $\sigma=-1$ (generates a complete set of solutions). The values in the interval $-3<\sigma<0$ label the so-called scalar complementary series of unitary irreducible representations of the dS group, and the boundary $\sigma=-3$ marks the departure of the scalar discrete series [13]. From the ladder relation

$$\left(A\cdot\partial^\top-\sigma H^2A\cdot x\right)(x\cdot\xi)^\sigma=\sigma A\cdot\xi\,(x\cdot\xi)^{\sigma-1} \tag{IV.3}$$

we easily understand the meaning of the operator $A\cdot\partial^{\top}+2H^2A\cdot x$ in (III.2) which corresponds to $\sigma=-2$.

Trivially the field equation (III.1) is invariant under the constant transformation

$$\Phi_{\rm mmc}\longrightarrow\Phi'_{\rm mmc}=\Phi_{\rm mmc}+\Phi_g\,,\quad \Phi_g=\text{constant}\,. \tag{IV.4}$$

Alternatively, from the above observations and trivial linear superposition of solutions, this equation is also invariant under the transformation

$$\Phi_{\rm mmc}\longrightarrow\Phi'_{\rm mmc}=\Phi_{\rm mmc}+(x\cdot B)^{-3}, \tag{IV.5}$$

where B^{α} is an arbitrary constant five-vector on the null cone in $\mathbb{M}_{1,4}$.

Let us construct a toy model of interaction with the latter transformation. For simplicity we consider the interaction with a spinor field. We consider a massless conformally invariant spinor field in dS universe. The action functional of this field is [2, 14]

$$S(\psi)=\int {\rm d}\mu(x)\mathcal{L}(\psi)=\int {\rm d}\mu(x)H\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^0\left(-i\not{x}\gamma^{\alpha}\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}+2i\right)\psi, \tag{IV.6}$$

where ${\rm d}\mu(x)$ is the invariant volume element on the dS hyperboloid and $\not{x}=\gamma_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$. The five 4×4 matrices γ^{α} satisfy the Clifford conditions [2, 14]:

$$\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta}+\gamma^{\beta}\gamma^{\alpha}=2\eta^{\alpha\beta}\qquad \gamma^{\alpha\dagger}=\gamma^0\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^0.$$

The following representation for the γ matrices is well adapted to the dS ambient space formalism [2, 14]:

$$\gamma^0=\begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & -I\end{pmatrix},\quad \gamma^4=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma^1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^1\\ i\sigma^1 & 0\end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^2=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma^2\\ -i\sigma^2 & 0\end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^3=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^3\\ i\sigma^3 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{IV.7}$$

where σ^i $(i=1,2,3)$ are the Pauli matrices and I is the unit 2×2 matrix.

The action (IV.6) is invariant under a global $U(1)$ phase transformation. Let us assume that the spinor field ψ, under the "local transformation" (IV.5), becomes:

$$\psi\longrightarrow\psi'=U(x,B)\psi=e^{-i\Lambda(x,B)}\psi\,, \tag{IV.8}$$

where Λ is an arbitrary function. Now the tangential derivative $\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}$ does not commute with U, $\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}\psi\longrightarrow\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}\psi'\neq U\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}\psi$. Hence we define the new derivative and transformation U as:

$$D^{\Phi}_{\alpha}\psi=\left(\partial^{\top}_{\alpha}+iB^{\top}_{\alpha}\Phi_{\rm mmc}\right)\psi, \tag{IV.9}$$

$$U=\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(x\cdot B)^{-2}\right], \tag{IV.10}$$

in order to get the transformation:

$$D^{\Phi}_{\alpha}\psi\longrightarrow(D^{\Phi}_{\alpha}\psi)'=D^{\Phi'}_{\alpha}\psi'=UD^{\Phi}_{\alpha}\psi\,. \tag{IV.11}$$

We see that the function $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(x \cdot B)^{-2}$ is not completely arbitrary whereas (B_α) is an arbitrary constant vector on the null cone. Thus it is not a gauge transformation. By replacing the covariant derivative with the derivative (IV.9) we obtain:

$$S(\psi) = \int \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\psi^\dagger\gamma^0 H\left[-i\not{x}\gamma^\alpha\left(\partial_\alpha^\top + iB_\alpha^\top\Phi_{\mathrm{mmc}}\right) + 2i\right]\psi\,,$$

which is invariant under the following transformations

$$\Phi'_{\mathrm{mmc}} = \Phi_{\mathrm{mmc}} + (x \cdot B)^{-3}, \quad \psi' = e^{\frac{i}{2}(x\cdot B)^{-2}}\psi\,. \tag{IV.12}$$

In this case the scalar field Φ_{mmc} and the constant vector B in the null cone may be considered as the gauge potential and the generators of the transformation respectively.

Therefore the interaction between the scalar field Φ_{mmc} and the spinor field ψ is defined in our toy model as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = H\psi^\dagger\gamma^0\not{x}\gamma^\alpha B_\alpha^\top\Phi_{\mathrm{mmc}}\psi\,. \tag{IV.13}$$

This interaction Lagrangian can be exactly identified, in the null curvature limit, with the Yukawa interaction type with a convenient choice of the five-vector constant B^α in the null cone. It is interesting to note that the arbitrariness of B is fixed in the null curvature limit and in a specific coordinate system, which is similar to the gauge fixing in the general coordinate transformation. Our toy model is also similar to the definition of the Higgs bundle and its connection [15, 16]. Exploiting the similarity of the Φ_{mmc} with the Higgs field, the null curvature limit must be carefully studied. This toy model can be used for defining the interaction between scalar and spinor fields via the transformation (IV.12).

V. ABELIAN GAUGE THEORY

Let us recall briefly the abelian gauge theory expressed in ambient space formalism [17]. A massless vector field or gauge vector field satisfies the following field equation [18]:

$$Q_1 K_\alpha + \partial_\alpha^\top\partial^\top \cdot K = 0\,, \tag{V.1}$$

where $Q_1 K_\alpha = (Q_0 - 2)\,K_\alpha + 2x_\alpha\partial^\top \cdot K$ is the Casimir operator on vector fields. The corresponding Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}(K) = K \cdot Q_1 K + K \cdot \partial^\top\partial^\top \cdot K\,.$$

By using the equations (II.2), the following identities are easily proved:

$$Q_1\partial_\alpha^\top\phi = \partial_\alpha^\top Q_0\phi, \;\; Q_1 B_\alpha^\top\phi = B_\alpha^\top\,(Q_0 - 2)\,\phi - 2\partial_\alpha^\top B \cdot x\phi\,, \tag{V.2}$$

where B_α is a constant five-vector in the null cone and $B^\top = B + H^2 x \cdot B\,x$. By noticing that the field equation (V.1) is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$K_\alpha \to K_\alpha^g = K_\alpha + \partial_\alpha^\top\Lambda\,, \tag{V.3}$$

where $\Lambda(x)$ is an arbitrary scalar field, one shows that the action (IV.6) is invariant under the local transformation $\psi \to \psi^G = e^{-i\Lambda(x)}\psi$ if the tangential derivative $\partial_\alpha^\top$ is replaced with the gauge-covariant derivative D_α^K [2, 17]:

$$D_\alpha^K = \partial_\alpha^\top + iK_\alpha\,. \tag{V.4}$$

By choosing $\Lambda \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(x\cdot B)^{-2}$ and $K_\alpha \equiv B_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}$, our toy model becomes exactly the above abelian gauge theory:

$$B_\alpha^\top \Phi'_{\rm mmc} = B_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc} + \partial_\alpha^\top \left[-\frac{1}{2}(x\cdot B)^{-2}\right] = B_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc} + B_\alpha^\top (x\cdot B)^{-3}\,. \tag{V.5}$$

Imposing that the vector field $K_\alpha \equiv B_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}$ satisfy the same field equations as the massless vector field (V.1) leads to the constraint

$$\partial_\alpha^\top B\cdot \partial^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc} + 2H^2 B\cdot x \partial_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc} = 0\,, \tag{V.6}$$

for which the following identity was used,

$$Q_0 B_\alpha^\top \phi - B_\alpha^\top Q_0 \phi = -8B\cdot x x_\alpha \phi - 2B_\alpha^\top \phi - 2B\cdot x \partial_\alpha^\top \phi - 2x_\alpha B\cdot \partial^\top \phi\,.$$

The relations $B\cdot K = (B\cdot x)^2 \Phi_{\rm mmc}$ and $\partial^\top \cdot K = 4B\cdot x \Phi_{\rm mmc} + B\cdot \partial^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}$ are used to transform the equation (V.6) into:

$$\partial_\alpha^\top \left[\partial^\top \cdot K - 4(B\cdot x)^{-1} B\cdot K\right] + 2B\cdot x \partial_\alpha^\top \left[(B\cdot x)^{-2} B\cdot K\right] = 0\,. \tag{V.7}$$

Let us express the Lagrangian in terms of the gauge field $K_\alpha = B_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}$ and the matter field ψ:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, K) = K\cdot Q_1 K + K\cdot \partial^\top \partial^\top \cdot K + H\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \left[-i \not{x}\gamma^\alpha \left(\partial_\alpha^\top + iK_\alpha\right) + 2i\right]\psi\,.$$

Then the application of the constraint (V.7) results in the following gauge fixing Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF}(K) = cK\cdot \partial^\top \left[\partial^\top \cdot K - 4(B\cdot x)^{-1} B\cdot K\right] + 2cB\cdot xK\cdot \partial^\top \left[(B\cdot x)^{-2} B\cdot K\right],$$

where c is the gauge fixing parameter. Our toy model is equivalent to the Abelian gauge theory with a precise choice of the gauge $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(x\cdot B)^{-2} = -\frac{H^2}{2}(B^\top \cdot B^\top)^{-2}$.

It is interesting to note that the vector field $K_\alpha \equiv B_\alpha^\top$ satisfies also the same field equations as the massless vector field (V.1) without any constraint:

$$(Q_0 - 2)\, B_\alpha^\top + 2x_\alpha \partial^\top \cdot B^\top + H^{-2}\partial_\alpha^\top \partial\cdot B^\top = 0\,. \tag{V.8}$$

Using the following equations:

$$\partial_\beta^\top \partial^{\top\beta} B_\alpha^\top = 8x_\alpha B\cdot x + 2B_\alpha^\top,\;\; \partial^\top \cdot B^\top = 4x\cdot B,\;\; \partial_\alpha^\top \partial^\top \cdot B^\top = 4B_\alpha^\top\,.$$

one can show that the field equation (V.8) is also invariant under the following gauge transformation:

$$B_\alpha^\top \to B_\alpha^{\prime\top} = B_\alpha^\top + \partial_\alpha^\top f(x),$$

where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary scalar field. In this case the Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \Phi_{\rm mmc}, B^\top) = \Phi_{\rm mmc} Q_0 \Phi_{\rm mmc} + H\psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \left[-i \not{x}\gamma^\alpha \left(\partial_\alpha^\top + iB_\alpha^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}\right) + 2i\right]\psi$$

$$+B^\top \cdot Q_1 B^\top + B^\top \cdot \partial^\top \partial^\top \cdot B^\top\,.$$

The gauge fixing Lagrangian in this case becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF}(B) = c_1 H^2 B\cdot B + c_2 \left(\partial^\top \cdot B\right)^2\,,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are the gauge fixing parameters.

What is the physical meaning of the projection $B_\alpha^\top$ of the constant vector field B_α on the de Sitter hyperboloid? This field cannot be written in terms of the de Sitter plane waves and also $\partial^\top \cdot B^\top \neq 0$, therefore it cannot be associated with any elementary particle. It corresponds to the constant trivial representation of the dS group [19]. Also the norm and direction of $B_\alpha^\top$ everywhere in the de Sitter space-time are different for different coordinate system. Is it measurable? This constant vector field in five-dimensional space is very similar to the constant scalar field in four dimensions. But unlike the scalar field, the constant vector field has an arbitrary norm and arbitrary direction. This constant arbitrary direction in five-dimensional space, when projected on four-dimensional space-time, breaks the isotropic symmetry of the latter.

VI. ONE-LOOP CORRECTIONS

The advantage of our toy model is that it can be used to define the interaction between the scalar field and the spinor field within the framework of the transformation (IV.12). Furthermore, it is free of ghost field. It is important to note that at the null curvature limit the arbitrariness of B_α can be fixed completely by imposing the condition that the Yukawa interaction between the scalar field and the spinor field be obtained. The arbitrariness of A_α in the two-point function could be completely fixed from experimental results at the null curvature limit or from the interaction of the scalar field with the other fields in the tree level approximation.

For our toy model the classical Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \Phi_{\rm mmc}) = \Phi_{\rm mmc} Q_0 \Phi_{\rm mmc} + H\psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \left(-i \not{x} \not{\partial}^\top + 2i\right)\psi + \psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \not{x} \not{B}^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}\psi \,,$$

which is invariant under the transformations (IV.12). The scalar two-point function is presented in (III.4) and the spinor two-point function is [14]:

$$S(x,x') = \frac{iH^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{(\not{x} - \not{x}')\gamma^4}{(1 - \mathcal{Z} + i\tau\epsilon)^2} \,.$$

The scalar and spinor propagators (G_ϕ, G_ψ) can be obtained easily from the above analytic two-point functions and the $\Phi - \psi - \psi$ vertex $(V_{\Phi-\psi-\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \not{x} \not{B}^\top \Phi_{\rm mmc}\psi)$ comes from the interaction Lagrangian. It is important to note that the B_α is exactly determined at the null curvature limit. Similarly to QED in the one-loop approximation there are three ultraviolet divergence diagrams, one-loop scalar propagator, one-loop spinor propagator, and one-loop vertex function. For the one-loop scalar propagator, we have two vertices in the points x and x' and two spinor propagators $[G_\psi(x,x')]^2$. The one-loop spinor propagator is constructed from two vertices and one spinor propagator $(G_\psi(x,x'))$ and one scalar propagator $(G_\phi(x,x'))$. The one-loop vertex correction is constructed from three vertices at the points x, x' and x'' and three propagator $G_\psi(x,x'), G_\psi(x',x'')$ and $G_\phi(x'',x)$. Since the quantum fields are constructed from the Bunch-Davies vacuum state, then the non-local terms are zero (there are not infrared divergent) and also the ultraviolet terms can be regularized at the null curvature limit exactly like it can be in Minkowskian space time.

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The de Sitter ambient space formalism has permitted to define a unique Bunch-Davies vacuum state for a quantum field theory that includes *mmc* scalar field and linear quantum gravity. The infrared divergence is non-existent in either the quantization of the scalar field $\Phi_{\rm mmc}$, or the linear

quantum gravity. In this construction the two-point functions are all analytic. Moreover, it is clear that the *mmc* field can be viewed as similar to the Higgs field. By using this formalism one can also define the interaction between scalar and spinor fields through (IV.12). Now we have the all necessary building blocks for the construction of a unitary super-gravity in dS universe [20] and unified theory of all interactions in de Sitter space. This will be considered in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to express his particular thanks to Professor Jean Iliopoulos for pointing out the problem of gauge theory of our toy model. We are also grateful to Edouard Brézin, Eric Huguet, Jean Iliopoulos, Richard Kerner, Salah Mehdi, Jacques Renaud and Shahriar Rouhani for helpful discussions.

Appendix A: Vacuum and "one-particle" states

In ambient space formalism, two solutions of the (*mcc*) field equation can be written in terms of dS plane waves $(x\cdot\xi)^{-1}$ and $(x\cdot\xi)^{-2}$, where ξ^α lies in the positive cone $C^+ = \left\{\xi^\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^5|\ \xi\cdot\xi=0,\ \xi^0>0\right\}$ [3, 10]. These solutions are not well defined globally in dS space-time. In order to obtain well defined solutions we must consider their extension to complexified dS space-time [3, 10]:

$$M_H^{(c)} = \left\{z=x+iy\in\mathbb{C}^5;\ \ \eta_{\alpha\beta}z^\alpha z^\beta = (z^0)^2-\vec{z}\cdot\vec{z}-(z^4)^2=-H^{-2}\right\}$$

$$= \left\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^5\times\mathbb{R}^5;\ \ x^2-y^2=-H^{-2},\ x\cdot y=0\right\}. \tag{A.1}$$

Then one uses the analytic complex dS plane waves to give a correct meaning to the field operator [2] (for details see [18] section VI):

$$\Phi_{\rm mcc}(z) = \sqrt{c_0}\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} d\mu(\xi)\left\{\ a_{\rm mcc}(\tilde{\xi})(z\cdot\xi)^{-2} + a^\dagger_{\rm mcc}(\xi)(z\cdot\xi)^{-1}\right\}, \tag{A.2}$$

where $\xi^\alpha=(1,\vec{\xi},\xi^4)$, $\tilde{\xi}^\alpha=(1,-\vec{\xi},\xi^4)$. Operators $a_{\rm mcc}$ and $a^\dagger_{\rm mcc}$ obey the homogeneity conditions $a_{\rm mcc}(\lambda\xi)=\lambda^{-1}a_{\rm mcc}(\xi)$ and $a^\dagger_{\rm mcc}(\lambda\xi)=\lambda^{-2}a^\dagger_{\rm mcc}(\xi)$ respectively. The vacuum and the "one-particle" states for this field are defined as [2]:

$$a_{\rm mcc}(\xi)|\Omega\rangle = 0\,,\ \ ;\qquad a^\dagger_{\rm mcc}(\xi)|\Omega\rangle = |1^c_\xi\rangle\,, \tag{A.3}$$

with

$$\langle 1^c_{\xi'}|1^c_\xi\rangle = \delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}(\xi-\xi'),\quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} d\mu(\xi)\delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}(\xi-\xi') = 1\,.$$

The vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$ in this case is exactly equivalent to the Bunch-Davies vacuum state [3, 10]. The notations are extracted from Ref. [2].

The analytic two-point function in terms of complex dS plane waves reads [3, 10]:

$$W_{\rm mcc}(z,z') = \langle\Omega|\Phi_{\rm mcc}(z)\Phi_{\rm mcc}(z')|\Omega\rangle = c_0\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} d\mu(\xi)(z\cdot\xi)^{-2}(z'\cdot\xi)^{-1}\,, \tag{A.4}$$

where c_0 is obtained by using the local Hadamard condition. One can easily calculate (A.4) in terms of the generalized Legendre function [10]:

$$W_{\rm mcc}(z,z') = \frac{-iH^2}{2^4\pi^2}P^{(5)}_{-1}(H^2z\cdot z') = \frac{H^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{-1}{1-\mathcal{Z}(z,z')} = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2}(z-z')^{-2}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(z,z') = -H^2 z\cdot z'$. The Wightman two-point function $\mathcal{W}_{\rm mcc}(x,x')$ is the boundary value (in the distribution sense, according to Theorem A.2 in [10]) of the function $W_{\rm mcc}(z,z')$. The latter is analytic in the "tuboid" $\mathcal{T}_{12}$ of $M_H^{(c)}\times M_H^{(c)}$ [10] defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{12} = \left\{(z,z');\ \ z\in\mathcal{T}^+, z'\in\mathcal{T}^-\right\}, \tag{A.6}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^\pm$ are called forward and backward tubes of the complex dS space $X_H^{(c)}$

$$\mathcal{T}^\pm = T^\pm \cap M_H^{(c)}, \tag{A.7}$$

and $T^\pm = I\!R^5 + iV^\pm$ are the forward and backward tubes in $\mathbb{C}^5$. The domains $V^\pm$ stem from the causal structure on M_H:

$$V^\pm = \left\{x\in I\!R^5;\ \ x^0 \gtrless \sqrt{\|\ \vec{x}\ \|^2 + (x^4)^2}\right\}. \tag{A.8}$$

For more details, see [10]. The boundary value of W is defined for $z = x+iy\in\mathcal{T}^-$ and $z' = x'+iy'\in\mathcal{T}^+$ through

$$\mathcal{Z}(z,z') = \mathcal{Z}(x,x') - i\tau\epsilon(x^0,x'^0),$$

where $y = (-\tau,0,0,0,0)\in V^-$, $y' = (\tau,0,0,0,0)\in V^+$ and $\tau\to 0$. Then, one obtains the two-point function (III.3).

Apart from the polarization constant five-vector A_α, the *mmc* field operator in the complex dS space-time can be defined properly from the quantum field operator of the *mcc* field and the identity (III.2):

$$\Phi_{\rm mmc}(z;A) = \sqrt{c_0}\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} d\mu(\xi)\left[A\cdot\partial^\top + 2A\cdot z\right]\left\{\ a_{\rm mmc}(\tilde{\xi};A)(z\cdot\xi)^{-2} + a^\dagger_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A)(z\cdot\xi)^{-1}\ \right\}$$

$$= \sqrt{c_0}\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} d\mu(\xi)\left\{\ a_{\rm mmc}(\tilde{\xi};A)\left[-2(A\cdot\xi)(z\cdot\xi)^{-3}\right] + a^\dagger_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A)\left[-(A\cdot\xi)(z\cdot\xi)^{-2} + (A\cdot z)(z\cdot\xi)^{-1}\right]\ \right\}.$$

The vacuum and the "one-particle" states in this case are defined as:

$$a_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A)|\Omega\rangle = 0,\ \ a_{\rm mmc}(\lambda\xi;A) = \lambda^{-1}a_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A),$$

$$a^\dagger_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A)|\Omega\rangle = |1^{\rm mmc}_\xi;A\rangle,\ \ a^\dagger_{\rm mmc}(\lambda\xi;A) = \lambda^{-2}a^\dagger_{\rm mmc}(\xi;A),\quad \langle 1^{\rm mmc}_{\xi'};A|1^{\rm mmc}_\xi;A\rangle = \delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}(\xi-\xi').$$

The vacuum state is unique. With these definitions of the field operator, the vacuum, the "one-particle" states, and the two-point function (III.4) can be reconstructed. The "one-particle" state $|1^{\rm mmc}_\xi, A\rangle$ does not transform under a unitary irreducible representation of the dS group.

A^α is a constant five-vector, which is determined by a specific representation of the dS group. Such representations can be constructed as the product of two representations of the dS group: (1) the scalar complementary representation related to the *mcc* field [2], and (2) a five-dimensional trivial representation with respect to $A^{(l)}_\alpha$ [19]. For a thorough investigation regarding the five existing polarization states $l = 0,1,2,3,4$, the reader may refer to [19].

---

[1] J.P. Gazeau, J. Renaud, M.V. Takook, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 1415 (2000), *Gupta-Bleuler quantization for minimally coupled scalar field in de Sitter space*, [gr-qc/9904023].

[2] M.V. Takook, *Quantum Field Theory in de Sitter Universe: Ambient Space Formalism*, [arXiv:1403.1204v3].

[3] J. Bros, J.P. Gazeau, U. Moschella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1746 (1994), *Quantum Field Theory in the de Sitter Universe*.

[4] B. Allen, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 3136 (1985), *Vacuum states in de Sitter space*.

[5] B. Allen, A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3771 (1987), *Massless minimally coupled scalar field in de Sitter space*.

[6] S. De Bièvre, J. Renaud, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 6230 (1998), *Massless Gupta-Bleuler vacuum on the (1+1)-dimensional de Sitter space-time*.

[7] M. Enayati, S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **55**, 5055 (2016) *Quantum Linear Gravity in de Sitter Universe on Gupta-Bleuler vacuum state*, [arXiv:1208.5562v2].

[8] S. Khani, S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, *Quantum linear gravity in de Sitter universe On Bunch-Davies vacuum state*, [arXiv:1502.04814].

[9] H. Pejhan and S. Rahbardehghan, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 044016 (2016), *Covariant and infrared-free graviton two-point function in de Sitter spacetime. I*; Phys. Rev. D **94**, 104030 (2016), *Covariant and infrared-free graviton two-point function in de Sitter spacetime. II*.

[10] J. Bros, U. Moschella, Rev. Math. Phys. **8**, 327 (1996), *Two-point functions and Quantum Field in the de Sitter Universe*, [gr-qc/9511019].

[11] N.A. Chernikov, E. A. Tagirov, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **IX**, 109 (1968), *Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time*.

[12] N. Fatahi, M.V. Takook, M.R. Tanhayi, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3111(2014), *Conformally covariant vector-spinor field in de Sitter space*, [arXiv:1405.7535v2].

[13] J.P. Gazeau, P. Siegl and A. Youssef, SIGMA **6**, 011 (2010), *Krein Spaces in de Sitter Quantum Theories*, [hep-th/10014810v1].

[14] P. Bartesaghi, J.P. Gazeau, U. Moschella, M.V. Takook, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 4373 (2001), *Dirac fields and thermal effects in de Sitter universe*.

[15] R.A. Wentworth, *Higgs bundles and local systems on Riemann surfaces*, [arXiv:1402.4203]

[16] A. Kapustin, E. Witten, *Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program*, [arXiv:0604151v3].

[17] S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2387 (2005), *Abelian gauge theory in de Sitter space*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0502019].

[18] T. Garidi, J.P. Gazeau, S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 032501(2008), *Massless vector field in de Sitter universe*, [arXiv:0608004v1].

[19] J.P. Gazeau, M. Hans, J. Math. Phys. **29**, 2533 (1988), *Integral-spin fields on* $(3+2)-$ *de Sitter space*.

[20] S. Parsamehr, M. Enayati, M.V. Takook, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 260(2016), *Super-gauge field in de Sitter universe*, [arXiv:1504.00453].